Preliminary evidence that the long-proboscid fly, Philoliche gulosa, pollinates Disa karooica and its proposed Batesian model Pelargonium stipulaceum
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1. Introduction

Long-proboscid flies have been documented as primary pollinators in several long-tubed floral guilds in southern Africa. These specialized pollination systems have become models for studying floral mimicry, adaptation, and coevolution. In this study we document a previously unknown pollinator of Pelargonium stipulaceum (L.f.) Willd. (Geraniaceae) and Disa karooica Johnson & Linder, a species within the Disa draconis complex (Orchidaceae), and propose the occurrence of a new floral model-mimic system in the semiarid interior of South Africa. We find that Philoliche gulosa Wiedemann (Tabanidae) is a pollinator of the putative floral model, Pelargonium stipulaceum and its floral mimic, Disa karooica. We document similarities in floral spur/tube length, spectral reflectance, absence of scent, presence of nectar guides, and overlapping phenology and distribution in these two sympatric plant species. We use colour spectrum analyses to test the idea that divergence in flower colour in the D. draconis complex is driven by adaptation to model Pelargonium species of different colours.
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1. Introduction

Long-proboscid flies have been documented as primary pollinators in several long-tubed floral guilds in southern Africa. These specialized pollination systems have become models for studying floral mimicry, adaptation, and coevolution (Johnson and Steiner, 1997; Manning and Goldblatt, 1997; Goldblatt and Manning, 2000a; Johnson, 2000; Johnson and Morita, 2006; Pauw et al., 2009). Long-proboscid fly pollination as defined by Goldblatt and Manning (2000a) is unique to two regions of the world: southern Africa and the Himalayan region. In southern Africa, long-proboscid flies have been estimated to be the primary pollinators of 25% of regional species of Pelargonium and approximately 10% of regional Iridaceae (Goldblatt and Manning, 2000a). Therefore, knowledge gained about these specialized plant-pollinator interactions may also have significant conservation implications.

The Disa draconis complex (Orchidaceae) is one of the best studied groups of long-proboscid fly pollinated plants (Johnson and Linder, 1995; Johnson and Steiner, 1997). The complex consists of four closely related taxa (Johnson and Linder, 1995) that produce long-spurred flowers in summer after the leaves have withered. All members of the group are thought to be pollinated by long-proboscid flies, and this has been established for three of the taxa: Disa draconis (L.f.) Sw. is pollinated by Moegistorhynchus longirostris Wiedemann (Nemestrinidae), and both subspecies of D. harveiana Lindl. are pollinated by Philoliche rostrata Linnaeus (Tabanidae). All three of these taxa are non-rewarding and rely on floral mimicry to attract pollinators (Johnson and Steiner, 1997). The pollination biology of the fourth taxon, Disa karooica Johnson & Linder, is the missing piece of the puzzle. This species occurs inland of the other three taxa and at higher altitude along the Roggeveld Escarpment that forms the arid eastern edge of the Cape Floristic Region.

Floral Batesian mimicry refers to the phenomenon in which non-rewarding plants (the mimic) imitate the floral morphology
of rewarding plants (the model) to attract pollinators (Dafni, 1984; Roy and Widmer, 1999; Johnson et al., 2003). Several conditions must be met before Batesian mimicry can be established. These conditions are (1) the model and mimic overlap in distribution and phylogenetics (2) the mimic resembles the model and thereby attracts the same pollinator(s) (3) the mimic has higher fitness when it co-occurs with the model and (4) the mimic occurs at a much lower frequency than the model (Roy and Widmer, 1999; Johnson et al. 2003). It has seldom been demonstrated that a putative mimic-model pair satisfies every one of these conditions (Johnson et al. 2003; Johnson and Morita, 2006).

In addition to providing general information about the phenomena of Batesian mimicry, groups of very closely related species, such as the D. draconis complex, provide an opportunity to study processes of divergence and speciation. Previous work by Johnson and Steiner (1997) provides evidence that divergence in floral spur length among the members of the D. draconis complex is driven by adaptation to pollinator species that differ in proboscis length. The members of the D. draconis complex also differ from one another in at least one non-structural feature, namely flower colour, which varies across taxa from mauve to cream and white. The possible role of pollinator-mediated selection and mimicry in driving this colour divergence has not been investigated.

In this study we determine the pollinator of D. karooica, and use floral trait measurements, distributional data and pollen load analysis to partially test the hypothesis that D. karooica is a Batesian mimic of a co-flowering nectar plant. We also use colour spectrum analyses to test the idea that divergence in flower colour in the D. draconis complex is driven by adaptation to model species of different colours.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site

Field work was conducted in the winter-rainfall zone of the Roggeveld Karoo on the farm De Plaat near the town of Sutherland from 17–22 October 2008. Dominant plant species included the shrubs Eriocephalus spp., Euryops lateriflorus, Dimorphotheca cuneata and Pteronia spp. (all Asteraceae) and bunch grasses such as Chaetorebomus dregeanus and Ehrharta calycina (Poaceae). Disa karooica was found growing at the base and within tussocks of grasses such as C. dregeanus. Plant species were identified using Goldblatt and Manning (2000b), Linder and Kurzweil (1999), Shearing (1994), and Van Der Walt and Vorster (1981). Vouchers have been deposited in the Stellenbosch University Herbarium.

2.2. Pollinators

Potential pollinators were captured with insect nets and checked for the presence of pollinaria. Pollinarium morphology was compared with that of pollinaria extracted from D. karooica. Blocks of fuchsin gel were used to remove other granular pollen from the same pollinators for examination under a compound microscope (Beattie, 1971). Pollen grains were compared with reference pollen collections from a potential model species. Reference collections were established from pollen extracted from voucher specimens of potential models. Proboscis measurements refer to non-extended mouthpart lengths (mm) of captured pollinators. Vouchers of pollinators have been deposited in the collections of the South African Museum.

2.3. Trait measurements

The farm was surveyed for the presence of potential model species. The total area surveyed was approximately fifteen hectares. From previous work in this pollination system (Johnson and Steiner, 1997; Manning and Goldblatt, 1997; Pauw et al., 2009), we assumed that the model would have an elongated floral tube and searched for any such species. Floral traits of D. karooica were compared with those of potential models. A one-way ANOVA was used to test for mean differences between tube/proboscis length in the model, the mimic and the pollinator. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 12.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) statistical package (SPSS, 2003). The presence or absence of scent and nectar guides was determined by inspection in the field and laboratory. If present, the height of the nectar column inside the floral tube was measured in order to determine whether the nectar would be within reach of the proboscis of the potential pollinator. Flower colour was measured as spectral reflectance over the UV-visible range (300–700 nm), which was determined for three to five flowers of each species using an Ocean Optics (Dunedin, Florida, USA) USB4000 spectrometer and Ocean Optics PX-2 pulsed xenon light source. Readings were taken through a fiber-optic reflection probe (UV/VIS 400 μm) held at 45° approximately 5 mm from the surface of the dorsal petal. The replicate spectra for each species were averaged.

2.3. Trait divergence among related mimetic species

To test the hypothesis that adaptation to different models might be driving colour divergence in the D. draconis clade, colour spectra of D. karooica and its putative model were compared with spectra obtained for D. draconis and its putative model Pelargonium longicaule var. longicaule Jacq. (Geraniaceae). Flowers of this species pair were collected at Silverstoomstrand on 15 November 2008. Vouchers have been deposited in the Stellenbosch University Herbarium.

3. Results

3.1. Pollinators

Three long-proboscid horse flies belonging to the species Philoliche gulosa (two male, one female: Vouchers AP 429, 431-2) were captured mid-day while they hovered in the vicinity of the authors. One male caught near individuals of potential model and mimics had a pollinarium of D. karooica.
attached to the base of its proboscis (Fig. 1) (Voucher AP 429). Granular pollen removed from the ventral part of the head and thorax of *P. gulosa* was identified as originating from *Pelargonium stipulaceum*, a potential model. The stamen lengths in *Pelargonium stipulaceum* are arranged in three levels and range in height from 45–65 mm (Manning and Goldblatt, 1997). The difference in stamen height is consistent with pollen deposition on the head and thorax of *P. gulosa* (Fig. 1).

### 3.2. Trait measurements

*Pelargonium stipulaceum* (L.f.) Willd. (Geraniaceae) (Fig. 1) was the only co-flowering long-tubed nectar plant located at the study site. *Pelargonium stipulaceum* is a small shrublet with succulent stems that grows under bushes, grasses or in the protection of rocks (Van Der Walt and Vorster, 1981, pers obs.). The flowers are strikingly similar to those of *Disa karooica*. Similarities include: cream coloured flowers, apparent absence of flora scent, presence of nectar guides and overlapping phenology (Table 1). Receptacular tube length (base of the ovary to base of the petal) in *P. stipulaceum* was not significantly different from spur length in *D. karooica*, but both differed significantly from proboscis length in *P. gulosa* (one-way ANOVA, $F_{2, 11} = 41, P < 0.001$, Tukey HSD) (Table 1).

Consistent with the hypothesis that *D. karooica* is a Batesian mimic of *P. stipulaceum*, we found that only *P. stipulaceum* secretes nectar. The nectar wells up in the tube of *P. stipulaceum* to a height of 31 mm from the bottom of the tube – well within the reach of the fly. As would be expected in a model mimic system, *P. stipulaceum* was more abundant than *D. karooica*. For example, in the ~2 ha area there were 15 *D. karooica* plants and ~200 *P. stipulaceum* plants. In addition, the geographical distribution range of *D. karooica* is contained within the slightly larger range of *P. stipulaceum*: both are restricted to heavy clay soils at high altitudes along the edge of the escarpment from Kamiesberg in the north to Matjiesfontein in the South (Van Der Walt and Vorster, 1981; Linder and Kurzweil, 1999).

### 3.3. Trait divergence among related mimetic species

The two members of the *D. draconis* complex examined here differ from one another in their colour spectra, and each is more similar to the co-occurring *Pelargonium sp.* (Fig. 2). The cream-coloured flowers of *D. karooica* and *P. stipulaceum* contrast with the brilliant white flowers of *D. draconis* and *P. longicaule var. longicaule*. Differences between individuals of the same *Disa* species were small relative to the difference between the two species. In the 400 to 500 nm range, there was

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Mean±(SD) (N) proboscid/tube/spur length (mm)</th>
<th>Nectar reward</th>
<th>Floral scent</th>
<th>Floral colour</th>
<th>Nectar guides</th>
<th>Phenology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. stipulaceum</em></td>
<td>53.0±4.0 ($n=6$)*</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>White-purple</td>
<td>Red-purple</td>
<td>Sept–Nov.*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>D. karooica</em></td>
<td>58.0±6.0 ($n=5$)*</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Cream</td>
<td>Red-purple</td>
<td>Oct–Nov.**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. gulosa</em></td>
<td>29.0±2.0 ($n=3$)*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Sept–Nov.***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in row comparing mean proboscid/tube/spur length with different letters are significantly different from each other according to Tukey’s HSD test at $p<.05$. Van Der Walt and Vorster, 1981*; Johnson and Linder 1995**; Goldblatt and Manning, 2000a***.

---

Fig. 1. Trait comparisons of *Pelargonium stipulaceum*, *Disa karooica* and pollinator, *Philoliche gulosa*: (a) spur and tube length comparison of *Pelargonium stipulaceum* (top) and *Disa karooica* (bottom); (b) similarity of the floral display (frontal view) of *P. stipulaceum* and *D. karooica*; (c) *P. stipulaceum* pollen deposition on the head and thorax of *P. gulosa* (ventral view) and pollinarium of *D. karooica* attached to the base of its proboscis; (d) proboscis length and pollinarium of *D. karooica*. Scale bars a, b and d=1 cm; c=5 mm. Photos by Anton Pauw.
no overlap in the range of variation observed within each *Disa* species.

4. Discussion

We provide some preliminary evidence that *Disa karooica* is pollinated by the long-proboscid fly *Philoliche gulosa*. The observations presented here add to what is known about the pollination biology of the other three members of the *Disa draconis* complex (Johnson and Steiner, 1997; Manning and Goldblatt, 1997; Goldblatt and Manning, 2000a; Pauw et al., 2009). In common with the other members of the complex, the spurs of *D. karooica* do not contain nectar, and we suggest that the flowers of this species attract *P. gulosa* by mimicking the more abundant, nectariferous flowers of *Pelargonium stipulaceum*. These two species resemble one another in phenology, distribution, tube length, flower colour, and have a shared pollinator (Table 1; Fig. 1).

Although these observations are consistent with the idea that mimicry drives the evolution of similarity between these two species, other explanations may apply. Innate pollinator preference for flowers of a particular type could drive convergence towards this type in different plant species. In this case there need be no evolutionary interaction between *D. karooica* and *P. stipulaceum*. To test for this it is necessary to determine whether *D. karooica* has higher fitness where it co-occurs with *P. stipulaceum*. Additional selective forces driving floral convergence might include other selective agents such as herbivores or adaptation to the same ambient light and ground cover environments (Rosenblum, 2006).

We have not demonstrated that the shared floral features of *D. karooica* and *P. stipulaceum* are indeed adaptations (Johnson et al., 2003). Features such as the long, slender nectar tubes in both species, might be ancestral and thus predate the association between *D. karooica* and *P. stipulaceum*. An ongoing model-mimic interaction between the species might explain the maintenance, but not necessarily the origin of such features.

In contrast, the divergence in flower colour between the closely related *D. karooica* and *D. draconis* does suggest adaptation. The possibility that this divergence is driven by adaptation of each *Disa* species to a different model species is supported by observations that the two *Disa* species are more similar to their co-occurring *Pelargonium* species than to one another (Fig. 2). However, it is possible that pollinators are selecting flowers on the basis of innate rather than learned preferences, in which case divergence would be driven by differences in the types of flowers preferred by *P. gulosa* and *M. longirostris* rather than by interactions with co-occurring *Pelargonium* species (Simonds and Plowright, 2004; Goyret et al., 2008).

A very interesting feature of the plant-pollinator interaction described here is that proboscis length was significantly shorter than spur/tube length. The easy explanation is that the fly can extend its proboscis but only by about 10 mm (pers obs.). This still leaves a shortcoming of about 20 mm that needs to be accounted for. The adaptationist view anticipates that proboscis length and tube length should match as a result of the selective force imposed by proboscis length on floral tube length and/or visa versa (Darwin, 1862; Nilsson, 1988). For example, Johnson and Steiner (1997), who found a similar discrepancy between proboscis lengths in *P. rostrata* and spur length in *Disa harveyana* subsp. *longicalcarata*, were led to suggest that an additional, undiscovered, very long-proboscid pollinator must exist, or recently existed at their study site. Our sampling of the area studied here was too limited to discount this possibility. An alternative explanation invokes metapopulation processes. Perhaps, the nature of selection operating on tube length differs among populations because of geographical differences in pollinator proboscis length. This mosaic of populations might be linked through gene flow, which continually remixes traits among populations. The result is that traits will be well matched in only some communities (Thompson, 1999, 2005; Pauw et al., 2009).

Much still remains to be learned about the *Disa draconis* pollination system. We hope that our preliminary results may serve as a beginning point for future investigation into both ecological and evolutionary questions related to floral mimicry, adaptation, and coevolution of this plant-pollinator interaction.
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